

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY-DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,795	04/04/2001	Staffan Folestad	1103326-0660	6487
7470	7590 08/14/2002		• 1	v
WHITE & CASE LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS		•	EXAMINER	
			BLANTON, REBECCA A	
NEW YORK,	NY 10036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	PAPER NUMBER
-		. ∙	9 1762	8
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	m			
			وي			
Office Action Summary	09/806,795	FOLESTAD ET AL.	 -			
Omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Rebecca A. Blanton	th the correspond nce address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 L</u>	December 2001					
_ : ;	is action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	;			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	A contract of the contract of					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,22 and 24-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4-21,23 and 28-41</u> is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	. *				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2001</u> is/are: a)[☑ accepted or b)☐ objected	I to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		lisapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	•					
2. Certified copies of the priority document			٠			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)	A) [7] Indian day	Summary (PTO, 413) Paner No/e)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		Part of Paner No.	2			

Application/Control Number: 09/806,795

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

This office action replaces the office action filed prematurely on 10 May 2002 as indicated in the petition decision filed 02 August 2002.

Claim Objections

Claims 4-21, and 23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-21, and 23 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claims 28-41 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 28-41 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 22 provides for the use of a method for coating a substrate particle, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper



Art Unit: 1762

definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1 and 24 recite the limitation of performing a spectrometric measurement on a coating during the step of forming the coating to obtain a measurement value of at least on principal parameter related to the coating. The specification states on page 8 paragraph 3 that the spectrometric measurement unit is arranged at the periphery of the coating chamber where it performs a spectrometric measurement on the coating during the coating process, followed by representing the measurement data in a sample vector. Neither the specification nor the claims explain how to make the spectrometric measurement and use the measurement data to derive a measurement value related to the coating.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/806,795

Art Unit: 1762

U.S. 5,518,759 to Sevillano et al.: Sevillano et al. describe a process for coating a substrate with diamond where a spectrometer is used to measure the plasma coating. composition.

U.S. 5,871,805 to Lemelson: Lemelson discloses a process for computerized control of a vapor deposition process where the coating thickness is measured

and the data is sent to a computer controller.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca A. Blanton whose telephone number is 703-605-4295. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (7:30am - 3:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

August 12, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHNOLOGY CENTER 1700